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“The mathematician’s patterns, like the painter’s or the poet’s must be beautiful; the ideas like the colours or the words, must fit together in a harmonious way. Beauty is the first test: there is no permanent place in the world for ugly mathematics.” — G.H. Hardy

To many, mathematics and art could not stand on more different ground: one identifies with the left brain, the other with the right, one is analytical, the other creative, however “both disciplines are creative endeavors with analytical components that are essential elements of contemporary civilization.”

In his fascinating book, Art & Physics, Leonard Shlain, examines the parallel evolution of art and science from the ancient Greeks to today. Shlain highlights noteworthy commonalities between revolutionary art and visionary mathematics and physics: artists and scientists (including physicists and mathematicians) both investigate the ways the interlocking pieces of reality fit together, and often—unbeknownst to each other’s research—achieved similar results in their respective fields.

Professors Carla Farsi and Doug Craft from the University of Colorado in their 2004 paper entitled One in Two, Two in One: Mathematics and the Arts, detail the differences, and most notably the similarities, between the two disciplines making the case that “like the yin/yang symbol, art and mathematics are really one in two, and two in one.” They noticed a “renewed interest in the mathematics of the natural world in areas such as minimal surfaces, fractals, symmetries, mirror reflections, chaos theory, and complexity.”

This interest and the exploration of the connection between these two disciplines is the spark that led to the conception of this exhibition and is evident in the creative process of several of the participating artists, starting with Stephen Schaum, whose highly polished relief welcomes the visitors as they enter the gallery.

Schaum is a Brooklyn-based artist focusing on the translation of dynamic patterns in nature into sonic, physical and spatial compositions. For this exhibition, the artist created a multi-faceted wall relief made of highly reflective mirrored stainless steel, the surfaces of which he envisions as being “temporally activated” by the path of the sun on a clear day: as sun rays hit the relief they will create blinding reflections which will overwhelm the senses of the viewers and create a feeling of displacement and groundlessness. As Schaum explained in a phone conversation: “This work uses the geometry and time-arc path of the sun to generate its form, and is about the act of ‘embodying’ sensory patterns and rhythms, in a way becoming/being them, rather than just observing/ transliterating them like in my previous work.” A perfect introduction, indeed, to the abstract world of mathematics the visitor is about to enter.

In the main gallery we’ll encounter a similar play on mirror and reflections, along with an interactive and playful element achieved through a low-tech and hands-on approach, in Susan Weinthaler’s BITS corner installation Echo. The bits are small wooden blocks outfitted with a magnet and then placed on a large sheet of metal. This simple mounting mechanism encourages audience’s participation and allows an infinite variable of compositions. In Echo, created specifically for this show, Weinthaler was inspired by the concept of infinity and the phenomenon of echo, rendered through a deconstructed portrait of the Greek nymph of the same name, combined with mirrors, the ideal medium to explore ideas of infinity.

In fact, both Schaum and Weinthaler’s contributions to the show have a precedent in Lucas Samaras’s Mirrored Room from 1966. In Art & Physics, Leonard Shlain observes how Samaras’s room encompasses all of the features of the special theory of relativity elaborated by Einstein in 1905: A table and a chair are set in a room where every surface is covered by mirrors, as the viewer enters he/she is confronted with a kaleidoscopic splintering of reflected light. The light “creates a holistic, Cubist, simultaneous representation of space until it is all here…the moment of now within the room is infinitely dilated until it stretches into a changeless everlasting now.”

Also driven by the idea of endless possibilities and the ever-changing reality of nature is the projection screened in the back room: a study for a new computational film elaborated by Michael Joaquin Grey. Computational physics, which provides digital representations of natural phenomena by solving their governing equations numerically, has transformed areas as diverse as scientific research, engineering design and film production. The starting point for this specific work is the history of aspect ratio and how essential its development has been in the history of film-making. Grey’s uses the rectangular shape of the classic 4:3 ratio, established by Thomas Edison in the late 19th century, sending it rotating in space to create endless spiraling configurations that remind us of an animation of a Serra drawing or the spinning of the black monolith in Kubrick’s 2001: A Space Odyssey.

Grey’s interdisciplinary practice revolves around the development and origins of life and language, as well as morphology. This fascination and analysis of nature and its phenomena is behind the invention of ZOOB, a versatile building toy based in the science of motion and the course of organism development, invented by Grey in the mid-1990s. A ‘ball & socket’ connection system inspired by the 5 different sets of joints of the human skeleton became the preliminary model for the artist/inventor. ZOOB workshops, led by the artist, encourage visitors to frolic with Grey’s ludic approach to art, science and natural phenomena.
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In this high-tech/low-tech gap we can also place the Machine Drawings by NY-based visual artist and composer Tristan Perich. Perich is inspired by the aesthetics of math and physics, and works with simple forms and complex systems. His art and music are about simple forms and the intersection of randomness, order and composition. The Machine Drawings—pen on paper or wall drawings executed by a machine that he designed and built—use randomness and order as raw materials within a composition, and create works that are a combination of the delicacy of real drawings and the rigid, structured system of mechanics and code.

Mathematical formulas and geometrical figures can also be aesthetically pleasing in their own rights. To mathematicians, great theorems and great proofs, such as Euclid’s elegant proof of the infinity of primes, have about them what Bertrand Russell described as “a beauty cold and austere,” akin to the beauty of great works of sculpture. In the 5-part documentary dedicated to Princeton professor Andrew Wiles’s 7-year successful quest to prove the legendary Fermat’s last theorem, he becomes emotional when describing the “eureka” moment that brought him to achieve success: “It was so indescribably beautiful,” says Wiles, continuing, “It was so simple and elegant, I just stared in disbelief for 20 minutes.” Physicist Wolfgang Pauli said: “It is more important for an equation to be beautiful than exact” suggesting an aesthetic appreciation of what is implied by the mathematical statement of cause and effect.

This fascination with mathematics and geometry for their own sake is evident in the works of Stephen Talasnik, Bernar Venet, Thomas Ruff and to some extent Kysa Johnson and R. Justin Stewart.

In his collages and sculptures, Stephen Talasnik’s connection to mathematical systems seems blatant, but is entirely fictional, with the artist inventing non-existing yet plausible formulas and engineering diagrams that he embeds in his 2-dimensional works. As Lebbeus Woods writes in his essay for the catalogue of Talasnik’s 2010 solo show at Marlborough Gallery: “The central element in Talasnik’s mythical world is structure. His works reveal to us structures seemingly of different scales and evoke how these scales relate to one another. His paintings are encyclopedic catalogues of structural patterns and systems of connections that enable the inexhaustible variations required to construct an entire living, and ever transforming world.”
makes two-dimensional detailed diagrams of what will become elaborate three-dimensional objects made with color-coded zip ties and o-rings, while the majority of zip ties are white, a few sculptures contain different colors to accent their forms. Inspired by the evolving interpretation of ideas, Systems of Knowing investigates how information is translated, transformed and conveyed across time and space. This series of installations investigates the interplay of information displayed through different frameworks. Surprisingly, the information manifests very differently; the drawings are very mechanical and rigid, while the sculptures feel softer and more natural. This series of work emphasizes the disconnect that arises when information migrates into a new context, highlighting the need for deep investigations in order to make sense of the world we live in.9

For the remaining artists in the show, Jane Philbrick, Joe Diebes and Vargas-Suarez Universal, the relationship of their work with mathematics may not be as overt, but is nonetheless equally significant.

Jane Philbrick’s sculpture, composed by twelve red spheres magnetically “floating” against a black panel, was born out of the collaboration with mathematicians, physicists and evolutionary biologists during the artist’s residency at MIT, and
was inspired by Marta Pan’s 1961 Sculpture Flottante. After discovering preparatory sketches by the artist at the Skissernas Museum (Museum of Sketches) at the University of Lund, Sweden, Philbrick set to ‘recreate’ Pan’s sculpture by “illuminating the creative process” behind the work, instead of simply copying the final product. The artist and her collaborators engaged therefore in a process of reverse engineering which would lead to discovering, as mathematical physicist Marco Gualtieri puts it, the sculpture’s very own DNA. By studying the mean curvature of the two parts that make up the original work flow (which predicts the future motion of a two-dimensional surface in space), as well as the sound frequencies emitted by the sculpture, which are obtained by hitting its shape and letting the sound reverberate in space, Philbrick and her team effectively created a new artwork which embodies the sculpture’s future; “updating it to the now present as a means of retrieving it in the future present tense.”

The choice to use the geometrical shape of a sphere was a result of Gualtieri’s studies of the mechanical and geometrical representation of the existing sculpture, while the decision to float the spheres through magnetic levitation came as a response to the original work floating on water.

Joe Diebes’ Technical Support is an interactive audio work created utilizing an algorithmic pattern designed by the artist. The viewer/listener is encouraged to dial a number and is prompted through what at first seems like a familiar set of options from an automated customer support center. The experience soon becomes labyrinthine—equal parts Escher and Kafka—drawing out the relations between the controlling language of corporate systems and the psychic disturbances associated with them. This is a continuation of the artist’s extensive research into corporate optimization algorithms which led to the realization that the call center is based on schematic procedures and scripts that bear more resemblance to computer programming than to human interaction. In this work Diebes questions the use of algorithms to control and limit human behavior and desire, taking, as he himself says: “in a way, what Benjamin Buchloch, referring to ’60s Conceptual Art, called the Aesthetics of Administration to the max.”

Vargas-Suarez Universal presents a wall installation featuring works from the Эльдорадо (El Dorado) series, abstract paintings on vacuumized aluminum thermal blankets on canvas and wall drawings based on the geometries of the architecture of the International Space Station, as well as Russian and American rockets and satellites. The paintings depict the geometries of the telemetrics designs used for guidance, tracking and docking procedures, as well as the hardware associated with gyrosopes and other instruments forming avionics systems. The title refers to the mythical City of Gold, but also suggests that our current quest for space domination is perhaps another type of mythical false Promised Land or, perhaps better said, Promised Space.

The exhibition concludes with three examples of Curved Crease Sculptures by MIT professors Dr. Erik Demaine and Dr. Martin Demaine, a father and son team of origami masters. Each sculpture in this series connects together multiple circular pieces of paper (between two and three full circles) to make a large circular ramp of total turning angle between 720° and 1080°. Erik and Martin Demaine’s works combine the art of origami with the science of geometric folding algorithms, a fitting conclusion for an exhibition that attempted to bring forth a rich mix of sensibilities towards art, abstraction, science, creativity, and meaning.

Having had the pleasure and honor to deal with these remarkable artists and brilliant minds and their artistic endeavors over the past four years, it is important to underline how the viewer’s aesthetic appreciation of the works does not require an understanding of the mathematical principles they employ or that mark their genesis, however, as with all art, deeper knowledge leads to deeper appreciation.

So it is hoped that the visitors will spend enough time to study and enjoy each piece in the show and use this essay as a key to unlock their fascinating stories.

— Ombretta Agrò Andruff

New York, 2012
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